

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,240	04/08/2002	Michelle Marguerite Grundy	6825.US	8802	
7:	590 06/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
St. Onge Steward Johnston & Reens, LLC 986 Bedford Street			LILLING, HERBERT J		
Stamford, CT 06905			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1651		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/018,240	GRUNDY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HERBERT J. LILLING	1651	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HERBERT J. LILLING	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 					
appeal; and/or	., .		, 110 100000 101		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, -	ejected claims.			
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· -	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7,11 and 20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	-				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			
13. Other: Argued limitations not in claims carry little weigh			nsidered		
		HERBERT J LILL	ING		

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651